# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

	ECONOMIC IMPA	CT STATEMENT	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
California Prison Industry Authority	Moira Doherty	moira.doherty@calpia.ca.	916-358-1711
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400			NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Inmate Grievances and Appeals			Z
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and as	ssumptions in the rulemaking record.	
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat  a. Impacts business and/or employees  b. Impacts small businesses  c. Impacts jobs or occupations  d. Impacts California competitiveness  If any box in Items 1	e. Imposes report f. Imposes prescr g. Impacts individ h. None of the ab	ting requirements iptive instead of performance duals pove (Explain below): cions address inmate grievances and a	ppeals.
		cal Impact Statement as appropriate.	
CALPIA			
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the ecor	nomic impact of this regulation (which includes t	ne fiscal impact) is:
⊠ Below \$10 million			
Between \$10 and \$25 million			
Between \$25 and \$50 million			
	is over \$50 million, agencies are req ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]	quired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact.</u>	<u>Assessment</u>
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted	None.		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	orofits):		
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:			
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre	ated: None. e	liminated: None.	
Explain:			
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or regional (List areas):		
6. Enter the number of jobs created: None.	and eliminated:		
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted:		
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produc	e goods or services here?	☐ YES ※ NO	
If YES, explain briefly:			

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

В.	<b>ESTIMATED COSTS</b> Include calculations and assumptions in the rule	emaking record.				
1.	1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$ 0.00					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Years:			
			Years:			
		Annual ongoing costs: \$				
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:	-				
2	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each	ch industria Not applicable				
۷.	in multiple modulities are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each	initiasity. Moraphicable.				
	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual cost Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and					
4. '	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?  YES X N	0				
	If YES, enter the ani	nual dollar cost per housing unit: \$				
		Number of units:				
5	Are there comparable Federal regulations? YES X					
ı	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of I	Federal regulations:				
	Applies only to CALPIA and mandatory to provide grievance and appeals process to afford due process.					
ı	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be	due to State - Federal differences: \$				
<u> </u>	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is no	ot specifically required by rulemaking law, but enc	ouraged			
	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include am	ong others, the	our ageu.			
	health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: 1  Formalize process for handling all inmate and parolee grievances and appeals of grievances to improve response times.					
	Formalize the procedures and process for handling inmate grievance appeals.					
2	2. Are the benefits the result of: X specific statutory requirements, or D goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?					
	Explain: Pursuant to PC 2800, 2802, 2807, and 2808, CALPIA is authorized to established regulations.					
3.	3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ Undetermined					
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business w	vithin the State of California that would result from	this regulation: N/A			
	-					
D.	. <b>ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION</b> Include calculations and as specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation o	f the dollar value of benefits is not			
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives	were considered, explain why not:				
	No alternatives considered because under due process	s of law, inmates are entitled to a proce	ss to file complaints			
	if their rights have been violated. In addition, providing	g grievances & appeals process is a bes	t business practice.			

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

The base Co. C	the mean letters to the P	ng, but not limited to, benefits to	the bealth and the Market 1	fare of California	
The incentive fo	or innovation in products	s, materials or processes:		N/A	
<del></del>				NI/A	
The increase or	decrease of investment	in the State:		N/A	
5. Briefly describe	the following:				
		tandardized Regulatory Impact A I to include the SRIA in the Initial S		'in	
	X NO				
exceeding \$50 i	million in any 12-month	period between the date the ma be fully implemented?			in or doing business in California ary of State through12 months
Alternative 2: T			ectiveness ratio: \$	as and individuals located	in or doing business in California
		Cost-effe			
		Cost-effe			
		just described, enter the estima			
(Attach addition	al pages for other alterna	tives)			
		bination of alternatives, for which	•		
			complete E2. and E3 NO, skip to E4		
1. Will the estimate	ed costs of this regulatio	n to California business enterpri		YES X NO	
	submit the	following (per Health and S	Safety Code section 5700	05). Otherwise, skip to	
. IVIAJUK KEGUI		mental Protection Agency (		es and departments are	e required to
MA IOD DECL	ATIONS Include select	lations and assumptions in the	n rulomakina rosord		
actions or p			·		. '
Explain: The R	proposed regulatio	ns do not mandate the u	se of specific technol	ogies or equipment	, or prescribe specific
regulation man	dates the use of specific	onsider performance standards c technologies or equipment, c nce standards considered to lov	or prescribes specific	YES 🔀 NO	
•		hat are relevant to a comparison s regulation or alternatives:	N/A		
Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$			
Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$			
		Cost: \$			
		Cost: 3			

PAGE 3

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

	. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).					
	\$					
	a. Funding provided in					
	Budget Act of or C	hapter	, Statutes of	<u> </u>		
	b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget A	Act of				
	Fisca	l Year:				
	<ol><li>Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year where (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Con</li></ol>			ode).		
		46	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide  a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in	tne appropriate into	ormation:			
	b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the					
	b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the			Court.		
	Case of:		VS			
	c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State exp	ressed in their app	roval of Proposition No.			
	Date of Election:					
	d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affe	ected local entity(s).				
	Local entity(s) affected:					
	e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from	1:				
	Authorized by Section:	of the	he	Code;		
	f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local gov	ernment which will	l, at a minimum, offset any additional o	osts to each;		
	g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new	crime or infraction	contained in			
7	3. Annual Savings. (approximate)					
	\$					
_	4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only te	chnical, non-substa	ntive or clarifying changes to current la	w regulations.		
		scal ontituor progra	m.			
_	5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any lo	carefully of progra	•••			

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

<b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations a year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	and assumptions of fiscal impact for the curren
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the	
Eiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
CALPIA may incur minor absorable costs in preparing the regulations are appeals which are existing costs already in existence, and therefore, there time, result in a savings due to the streamlined process from previous operations only.	is no additional costs, and may over
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 an impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	d attach calculations and assumptions of fisca
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATUREDocuSigned by:	DATE
William Davidson, CALPIA Chief Financial Officer William Davidson	6/3/2021
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Security sets of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Security sets in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE Signed by:
William Davidson, signatory delegate for Prison Industry Board Chairperson William	6 /2 /2021
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fisca	al Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
$\searrow_0$	

# Addendum A to Form 399 Economic and Fiscal Impact Statement CALPIA Inmate Grievances and Appeals

Page 5, Section B, requests information on the Fiscal Effect on State Government of a regulatory action, for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

This regulatory action has no fiscal impact. Section B, page 5, provides that this section only applies to "Additional expenditures in the current Fiscal Year". To the extent there are no additional expenditures, i.e., costs are already included in operations, question 1 is inapplicable and not checked.

CALPIA has engaged in inmate grievances and appeals since the inception of CALPIA. CALPIA was created by Chapter 1549, Statutes of 1982 as a semiautonomous state agency to operate California's prison industries. This regulatory action streamlines those grievances and appeals and separates them from CDCR for CALPIA specific inmate grievances and appeals. As there are no new expenditures in the grievance and appeals process, box 1 is not checked, as costs have existed for these activities since the inception of CALPIA.

Item 2 is not checked for speculative savings as future savings cannot be determined and at this time there are none to report. The number and nature of inmate grievances and appeals cannot be predicted, and therefore, any savings also cannot be predicted. The processing and evaluation of inmate grievances and appeals continues and is ongoing. The current and historical volume of grievances and appeals averages zero to 10 a month, and the costs since 1982 are possibly 0 to \$750 per month. These costs have existed since 1982 and thus there are no new expenditures or savings in the current year or two subsequent years to report.

Regarding Item 3, the language "The regulation does not affect any State agency or program" is contained within Section B, which has the express focus on additional expenditures and savings. This excludes details of ongoing existing costs or savings for which there are no changes or are entirely speculative. As noted above, CALPIA has been engaged in review and responding to inmate grievances and appeals since 1982.

Item 4 would only be required for additional costs or savings beyond the current operations. Item 4 does not require wholly speculative costs or savings. CALPIA does not have additional costs or savings beyond the current operations and any estimate would be entire speculation.